

Phantom universe from CPT symmetric QFT

Alexander A. Andrianov

Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy

V.A. Fock Department of Theoretical Physics, Saint Petersburg State University, 198904, S.-Petersburg, Russia

Francesco Cannata

Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy

Alexander Y. Kamenshchik

Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy

L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Kosygin str. 2, 119334 Moscow, Russia

Inspired by the generalization of quantum theory for the case of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with CPT symmetry, we construct a simple classical cosmological scalar field based model describing a smooth transition from ordinary dark energy to the phantom one.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Jk, 11.30.Er, 02.60.Lj

The discovery of the cosmic acceleration [1] has stimulated the search for models of the so called dark energy [2] responsible for this phenomenon. The crucial feature of the dark energy is that $w = p/\varepsilon < -1/3$, where p is the pressure and ε is the energy density. Some observations [3] hint to the possibility that the equation of state parameter $w < -1$. The corresponding models are called phantom dark energy ones [4]. These models have some unusual properties: to realize them one often uses the phantom scalar field with the negative sign of kinetic term; in many models the presence of the phantom dark energy implies the existence of the future Big Rip cosmological singularity [5]; according to some observations the crossing of the phantom divide line $w = -1$ occurs, the theoretical explanation of this fact also presents some kind of challenge [6].

The phantom model building has involved many different ideas. Here we would like to present a rather simple and natural cosmological toy model, linked to and inspired by such an intensively developing branch of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory as the study of non-Hermitian, but *CPT* (or *PT*) symmetric models [7, 8, 9, 10]. The main point of this approach consists in the fact that there exists a large class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, which nevertheless possesses real and often positive definite spectrum. As found by Bender and Boettcher in their seminal paper [7], they are characterized by a potential which in one-dimensional case satisfies the property of *PT* - invariance $V(x) = V^*(-x)$. Non-trivial generalization to quantum field theory has also been considered [9]. It has been suggested that non-Hermitian quantum theory may find applications in quantum cosmology [10].

Here, we explore the use of a particular complex scalar field Lagrangian, which has real solutions of the classical equations of motion. Thereby we provide a cosmological model describing in a natural way an evolution from the Big Bang to the Big Rip involving the transition from normal matter to phantom matter, crossing smoothly the

phantom divide line. The interest of our approach is related to its focusing on the intersection between two important fields of research, hopefully allowing for a their mutual cross-fertilization.

In particular, we give an example of charged scalar matter interacting with a non-Hermitian potential which however does not break the CPT symmetry. In our model the classical solutions in the presence of gravity (FRW cosmological background) are such that the originally complex Lagrangian becomes real on classical vacuum configurations while one of the scalar component obtains the ghost sign of kinetic energy. Thereby we recover a more conventional phantom matter starting from the complex matter with normal kinetic energy.

Let us consider a CPT symmetric, but non-Hermitian Lagrangian of a scalar field

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - V(\phi, \phi^*), \quad (1)$$

with a potential $V(\phi, \phi^*)$ satisfying the CPT invariance condition

$$(V(\phi, \phi^*))^* = V(\phi^*, \phi), \quad (2)$$

while the condition

$$(V(\phi, \phi^*))^* = V(\phi, \phi^*), \quad (3)$$

is not satisfied. (Indeed, it should be noted that P-invariance is trivial for a scalar field). For example, such potential can have a form

$$V(\phi, \phi^*) = V_1(\phi + \phi^*)V_2(\phi - \phi^*). \quad (4)$$

If one defines

$$\phi = \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \quad (5)$$

and considers potentials of the form

$$V(\phi, \phi^*) = V_0(\phi_1) \exp(i\alpha\phi_2), \quad (6)$$

where α is real parameter a, one can recognize the link to the so called PT symmetric potentials.

Here, the functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are introduced as the real and the imaginary parts of the complex scalar field ϕ , however, in what follows, we shall treat them as independent spatially homogeneous variables depending only on the time parameter t . The equations of motion for fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 have the form

$$\ddot{\phi}_1 + 3h\dot{\phi}_1 + V'_0(\phi_1)\exp(i\alpha\phi_2) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$i\ddot{\phi}_2 + 3ih\dot{\phi}_2 - \alpha V_0(\phi_1)\exp(i\alpha\phi_2) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where $h \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble variable for a flat spatially homogeneous metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)dl^2, \quad (9)$$

satisfying the Friedmann equation

$$h^2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_2^2 + V_0(\phi_1)\exp(i\alpha\phi_2). \quad (10)$$

Let us notice, that the system of equations (7),(8),(10) can have a solution where $\phi_1(t)$ is real, while the ϕ_2 is imaginary, or, in other words

$$\phi_2(t) = -i\xi(t), \quad (11)$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a real function. In terms of these two real functions, our system of equations can be rewritten as

$$\ddot{\phi}_1 + 3\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\xi}^2 + V_0(\phi_1)\exp(\alpha\xi)}\dot{\phi}_1 + V'_0(\phi_1)\exp(\alpha\xi) = 0, \quad \frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{\xi}^2}{2} - V_0(\phi_1)e^{\alpha\xi} = h^2 = -\frac{2}{3}\dot{h} - h^2 = -\frac{A(4t - 2t_R + 3A)}{3t^2(t_R - t)^2}. \quad (12)$$

$$\ddot{\xi} + 3\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\xi}^2 + V_0(\phi_1)\exp(\alpha\xi)}\dot{\xi} - \alpha V_0(\phi_1)\exp(\alpha\xi) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Now, substituting $\phi_2(t)$ from Eq. (11) into Eq. (10) we have the following expression for the energy density

$$\varepsilon = h^2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\xi}^2 + V_0(\phi_1)\exp(\alpha\xi). \quad (14)$$

The pressure will be equal

$$p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\xi}^2 - V_0(\phi_1)\exp(\alpha\xi). \quad (15)$$

It is easy to see that if $\dot{\phi}_1^2 < \dot{\xi}^2$ the pressure will be negative and $p/\varepsilon < -1$, satisfying the phantom equation of state. Instead, when $\dot{\phi}_1^2 > \dot{\xi}^2$, the ratio between the pressure and energy density exceeds -1 and, hence, the condition

$$\dot{\phi}_1^2 = \dot{\xi}^2 \quad (16)$$

corresponds exactly to the phantom divide line, which can be crossed dynamically during the evolution of the field components $\phi_1(t)$ and $\xi(t)$.

We provide now a simple realization of this idea by an exactly solvable cosmological model by implementing the technique for construction of potentials for a given cosmological evolution [11]. It is convenient to start with a cosmological evolution as given by the following expression for the Hubble variable:

$$h(t) = \frac{A}{t(t_R - t)}. \quad (17)$$

The evolution begins at $t = 0$, which represents a standard initial Big Bang cosmological singularity, and comes to an end in the Big Rip type singularity at $t = t_R$. The derivative of the Hubble variable

$$\dot{h} = \frac{A(2t - t_R)}{t^2(t_R - t)^2} \quad (18)$$

vanishes at

$$t_P = \frac{t_R}{2} \quad (19)$$

when the universe crosses the phantom divide line.

Next, we can write down the standard formulae connecting the energy density and the pressure to the Hubble variable and its time derivative:

$$\frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{\xi}^2}{2} + V_0(\phi_1)e^{\alpha\xi} = h^2 = \frac{A^2}{t^2(t_R - t)^2}, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{\xi}^2}{2} - V_0(\phi_1)e^{\alpha\xi} = -\frac{2}{3}\dot{h} - h^2 = -\frac{A(4t - 2t_R + 3A)}{3t^2(t_R - t)^2}. \quad (21)$$

The expression for the potential $V_0(\phi_1)$ follows

$$V_0(\phi_1) = \frac{A(2t - t_R + 3A)}{3t^2(t_R - t)^2}e^{-\alpha\xi}. \quad (22)$$

The kinetic term satisfies the equation

$$\dot{\phi}_1^2 - \dot{\xi}^2 = -\frac{2A(2t - t_R)}{3t^2(t_R - t)^2}. \quad (23)$$

It is convenient to begin the construction with the solution for ξ . Taking into account the formulae (17) and (22) Eq. (13) can be rewritten as

$$\ddot{\xi} + 3\dot{\xi}\frac{A}{t(t_R - t)} - \frac{\alpha A(2t - t_R + 3A)}{3t^2(t_R - t)^2} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Introducing a new parameter

$$m \equiv \frac{3A}{t_R}, \quad (25)$$

Eq. (24) looks like

$$\ddot{y} + y\frac{mt_R}{t(t_R - t)} - \frac{\alpha mt_R(2t + t_R(m - 1))}{9t^2(t_R - t)^2} = 0, \quad (26)$$

where

$$y \equiv \dot{\xi}. \quad (27)$$

It is not difficult to show that the solution of Eq. (26) is given by

$$y = \frac{\alpha m t_R (t_R - t)^m}{9 t^m} \int dt \frac{(2t + (m-1)t_R) t^{m-2}}{(t_R - t)^{m+2}}, \quad (28)$$

where the inessential constant of integration will be disregarded.

Let us estimate the behavior of the solution (28) at $t \rightarrow t_R$. Simple estimation gives

$$y \rightarrow -\frac{\alpha m}{9(t_R - t)}. \quad (29)$$

On the other hand the equality (23) should be satisfied for all the values $t \leq t_R$. That means that the value of $\dot{\xi}^2$ should be greater than the absolute value of right-hand side of Eq. (23). This last quantity at the limit $t \rightarrow t_R$ behaves as $2m/9(t_R - t)^2$. Thus, one should have

$$\frac{\alpha^2 m^2}{81(t_R - t)^2} \geq \frac{2m}{9(t_R - t)^2} \quad (30)$$

or, in other words,

$$m \geq \frac{18}{\alpha^2}. \quad (31)$$

Before considering the concrete values of m , notice that the equation of state parameter w in the vicinity of the initial Big Bang singularity behaves as

$$w = -1 + \frac{2}{m}, \quad (32)$$

while approaching the final Big Rip singularity this parameter behaves as

$$w = -1 - \frac{2}{m}. \quad (33)$$

Notice that the range for w does not depend on α , depending only on the value of the parameter m , which relates the scales of the Hubble variable h and of the time of existence of the universe t_R .

Remarkably, an integral in the right-hand side of Eq. (28) is calculable analytically

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{\alpha m t_R}{9t(t_R - t)} \quad (34)$$

while

$$\xi = \frac{\alpha m}{9} (\log t - \log(t_R - t)). \quad (35)$$

From now on the parameter t will be dimensionless. Inclusion of characteristic time does not change the structure of the potential because of its exponential dependence on ξ . Substituting the expression (34) into Eq. (23) one has

$$\phi_1^2 = \frac{m t_R ((\alpha^2 m + 18)t_R - 36t)}{81 t^2 (t_R - t)^2}. \quad (36)$$

For the case $\alpha^2 m = 18$ the function $\phi_1(t)$ can be easily found from Eq. (36) and it looks like follows:

$$\phi_1 = \pm \sqrt{32} \text{Arctanh} \sqrt{\frac{t_R - t}{t_R}}. \quad (37)$$

One can choose the positive sign in Eq. (37) without losing the generality.

Inverting Eq. (37) we obtain the dependence of the time parameter as a function of ϕ_1

$$t = \frac{t_R}{\cosh^2 \frac{\phi_1}{\sqrt{32}}}. \quad (38)$$

Substituting expressions (38) and (35) into Eq. (22) we can obtain the explicit expression for the potential $V_0(\phi_1)$:

$$V_0(\phi_1) = \frac{2 \cosh^6 \frac{\phi_1}{\sqrt{32}} \left(2 + 17 \cosh^2 \frac{\phi_1}{\sqrt{32}} \right)}{t_R^2}. \quad (39)$$

We would like to emphasize that this potential is real and even. It is interesting that the time dependence of $\phi_1(t)$ could be found also for an arbitrary value of the parameter m , but for $\alpha^2 m > 18$ this dependence cannot be reversed analytically and, hence, one cannot obtain the explicit form of the potential $V_0(\phi_1)$.

Now, let us turn to Eq. (38), expressing the dependence of the time parameter t on ϕ_1 . It is convenient to consider the evolution of the value of ϕ_1 between the values $-\infty$, corresponding to the initial cosmological singularity at $t = 0$, and 0, corresponding to the Big Rip singularity at $t = t_R$. At the moment $t_P = t_R/2$, the equality $\dot{\xi}^2 = \dot{\phi}_1^2$ is satisfied and the universe is crossing the phantom divide line. It is easy to obtain from Eqs. (35) and (37) the values of the fields ξ and ϕ_1 at $t = t_P$:

$$\xi(t_P) = 0, \quad \phi_1(t_P) = \sqrt{32} \text{Arctanh} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (40)$$

The potential (39) is smooth together with all its derivatives at this point. Moreover, it is quite regular at all the finite values of the scalar field ϕ_1 . It is curious that the potential $V_0(\phi_1)$ is finite also at the moment of the Big Rip. It is not, however, strange, because it enters in the expressions for the energy density (20) and the pressure (21) being multiplied by the factor $e^{\alpha \xi}$ which is singular at $t = t_R$.

In conclusion we would like to stress that we have constructed a model relaxing the requirement of Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian of the theory which is equivalent to the reality of the classical Lagrangian. This relaxation, however, does not imply the breakdown of Lorentz and CPT invariance. For our classical solutions, expressed in terms of real fields, observable quantities like energy density, pressure, Hubble variable turn out to be real. As a consequence our model describes in a rather natural way the transition from normal matter to phantom one.

Acknowledgments

A.A. was partially supported by RFBR, grant No. 05-02-17477 and by the Programme UR, grant No.

02.01.299. A.K. was partially supported by RFBR, grant No. 05-02-17450 and by the Research Programme "Astronomy" of the RAS.

-
- [1] A. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998); S.J. Perlmutter et al., *Astroph. J.* **517**, 565 (1999).
- [2] V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **9**, 373 (2000); T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rep.* **380**, 235 (2003); P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003); V. Sahni, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19**, 3435 (2002).
- [3] U. Alam, V. Sahni, T.D. Saini and A.A. Starobinsky, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **354**, 275 (2004); T. Padmanabhan and T.R. Choudhury, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **344**, 823 (2003); T. R. Choudhury and T. Padmanabhan, *Astron. Astrophys.* **429**, 807 (2005); Y. Wang and P. Mukherjee, *Astrophys. J.* **606**, 654 (2004); D. Huterer and A. Cooray, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 023506 (2005); R.A. Daly and S. G. Djorgovski, *Astrophys. J.* **597**, 9 (2003); J. S. Alcaniz, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 083521 (2004); J.A.S. Lima, J.V. Cunha and J.S. Alcaniz, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 023510 (2003); U. Alam, V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, *JCAP* **0406**, 008 (2004); Y. Wang and K. Freese, *astro-ph/0402208*; A. Upadhye, M. Ishak and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 063501 (2005); D.A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 083527 (2004); C. Espana-Bonet and P. Ruiz-Lapuente, *hep-ph/0503210*; Y. H. Wei, *astro-ph/0405368*; H.K. Jassal, J.S. Bagla, and T. Padmanabhan, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. Letters*, **356**, L11 (2005); S. Nesseris and L. Perivolaropoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043531 (2004); R. Lazkoz, S. Nesseris and L. Perivolaropoulos, *astro-ph/0503230*; H.K. Jassal, J.S. Bagla and T. Padmanabhan *astro-ph/0506748*.
- [4] R.R. Caldwell, *Phys. Lett. B* **545**, 23 (2002); P. F. Gonzalez-Diaz, *Phys. Lett. B* **586**, 1 (2004); P. Singh, M. Sami and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 023522 (2003); S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **562**, 147 (2003); V.B. Johri, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 041303(R) (2004); V.K. Onemli and R.P. Woodard, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19**, 4607 (2000); S. M. Carroll, M. Hoffman and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 023509 (2003); P.H. Frampton, *hep-th/0302007*; E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043539 (2004); P. F. Gonzalez-Diaz and C. L. Siguenza, *Nucl. Phys. B* **697**, 363 (2004); G.W. Gibbons, *hep-th/0302199*; B. McInnes, *astro-ph/0210321*; L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 211301 (2003); M.P. Dabrowski, T. Stachowiak and M. Szydlowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 103519 (2003); P. Singh, M. Sami and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 023522 (2003); P.F. Gonzalez-Diaz, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 063522 (2004); V.K. Onemli and R.P. Woodard, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 107301 (2004); M. Sami and A. Toporensky, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **19**, 1509 (2004); H. Stefancic, *Phys. Lett. B* **586**, 5 (2004); J. Santos and J.S. Alcaniz, *Phys. Lett. B* **619**, 11 (2005); F.C. Carvalho and A. Saa, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 087302 (2004); A. Melchiorri, L. Mersini, C. J. Odman and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 043509 (2003); J.M. Cline, S. Jeon and G.D. Moore, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043543 (2004); Z.K. Guo, Y.S. Piao, X.M. Zhang and Y.Z. Zhang, *Phys. Lett. B* **608**, 177 (2005); B. Feng, X.L. Wang and X.M. Zhang, *Phys. Lett. B* **607**, 35 (2005); I.Y. Aref'eva, A.S. Koshelev and S.Y. Vernov, *astro-ph/0412619*; X. F. Zhang, H. Li, Y.S. Piao and X.M. Zhang, *astro-ph/0501652*; M. Li, B. Feng and X. Zhang, *hep-ph/0503268*; E. Babichev, V. Dokuchaev and Yu. Eroshenko, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 143 (2005); A. Anisimov, E. Babichev and A. Vikman, *JCAP* **0506**, 006 (2005); S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063004 (2005); B. Gumjudpai, T. Naskar, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *JCAP* **0506**, 007 (2005); M. Sami, A. Toporensky, P.V. Tretjakov and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **619**, 193 (2005); S. Nojiri and Sergei D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 023003 (2005); S. Nojiri and Sergei D. Odintsov, *hep-th/0506212*; A. A. Andrianov, V. A. Andrianov, P. Giacconi and R. Soldati, *JHEP* **0507**, 003 (2005); P. Tretyakov, A. Toporensky, Yu. Shtanov and V. Sahni, *gr-qc/0510104*.
- [5] A.A. Starobinsky, *Grav. Cosmol.* **6**, 157 (2000); R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N.N. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 071301 (2003); R. Kallosh, J. Kratochvil, A. Linde, E.V. Linder and M. Shmakova, *JCAP* **0310**, 015 (2003).
- [6] A. Vikman, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 023515 (2005); L. Perivolaropoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063503 (2005); B. McInnes, *Nucl. Phys. B* **718**, 55 (2005); I.Ya. Aref'eva, A.S. Koshelev and S.Yu. Vernov, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 064017 (2005); A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata and A.Y. Kamenshchik, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 043531 (2005); X.-H. Meng, M.-G. Hu, and J. Ren, *astro-ph/0510357*.
- [7] C.M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998),
- [8] F. Cannata, G. Junker and J. Trost, *Phys. Lett. A* **246**, 219 (1998); A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, J.P. Dedonder and M.V. Ioffe, *Int. J. Mod. A* **14**, 2675 (1999); C. Bender, S. Boettcher and P. Meisinger, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 2201 (1999).
- [9] C. M. Bender, K.A. Milton and V.M. Savage, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 085001 (2000); C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 251601 (2004).
- [10] Z. Ahmed, C.M. Bender and M.V. Berry, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, L627 (2005).
- [11] A.A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **68**, 757 (1998); T.D. Saini, S. Raychaudhury, V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1162 (2000); V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 123512 (2004); V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, V. Pasquier and A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 103518 (2005).